

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF UTTARANCHAL AT
NAINITAL.**

Writ Petition No. 594 (S/S) of 2006

Brij Mohan Painuly
Son of late Sri Ram Prasad Painuly,
Naib Tehsildar,
Tehsil – Roorkee,
District – Haridwar. Petitioner

Versus

1. District Magistrate, Haridwar.
2. Sub District Magistrate, Haridwar.
3. Acting Officer Nazarat, Haridwar.

.....Respondents

Hon'ble M.M. Ghildiyal, J.

Heard Sri M.S. Chauhan, learned counsel for the petitioner and Sri Paresh Tripathi, learned standing counsel for the State of Uttaranchal on behalf of respondents.

Brief facts of the case that the petitioner, who is working as Collection Amin in District – Haridwar was allotted Government accommodation at Haridwar. Some times in the month of May, 2004 petitioner was transferred to Tehsil –Roorkee and he has joined his duties at Roorkee. Petitioner was directed by the Authorities to vacate the premises , which was allotted to him at Haridwar while he was posted at Haridwar. However, petitioner did not vacate the premises, which allotted to him for two years.

By the impugned order dated 19.04.2006 the allotment of the premises, which was earlier allotted to the petitioner has been cancelled by the respondent no. 2 on the ground that he has already been transferred to Haridwar long back but he has not vacated the premises.

I do not find any infirmity in the impugned order passed by respondent no. 2. Writ petition has no merit and as such, is dismissed. No order as to costs.

(M.M. Ghildiyal, J)

May 03, 2006

SKSharma